

A unified approach to the calculus of variations on time scales

Ewa Girejko^{1,4}, Agnieszka B. Malinowska^{2,4}, Delfim F. M. Torres³

1. Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
E-mail: egirejko@ua.pt

2. Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
E-mail: abmalinowska@ua.pt

3. Department of Mathematics, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
E-mail: delfim@ua.pt

4. Faculty of Computer Science, Białystok University of Technology, 15-351 Białystok, Poland

Abstract: In this work we propose a new and more general approach to the calculus of variations on time scales that allows to obtain, as particular cases, both delta and nabla results. More precisely, we pose the problem of minimizing or maximizing the composition of delta and nabla integrals with Lagrangians that involve directional derivatives. Unified Euler-Lagrange necessary optimality conditions, as well as sufficient conditions under appropriate convexity assumptions, are proved. We illustrate presented results with simple examples.

About this Article: This is a preprint of a paper whose final form appeared in the CD-ROM Conference Proceedings of 2010 CCDC, published by IEEE Industrial Electronics (IE) Chapter, Singapore:

E. Girejko, A. B. Malinowska, D. F. M. Torres. A unified approach to the calculus of variations on time scales, Proceedings of 2010 CCDC, Xuzhou, China, May 26-28, 2010. In: IEEE Catalog Number CFP1051D-CDR, 2010, 595–600.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49K05, 26E70, 34N05.

Key Words: Euler-Lagrange equations, calculus of variations, delta and nabla calculi, time scales, directional derivatives.

1 INTRODUCTION

The theory of time scales was initiated by Aulbach and Hilger in order to create a calculus that can unify and extend discrete and continuous analysis [5]. It has found applications in several different fields that require simultaneous modeling of discrete and continuous data, in particular in the calculus of variations. There are two approaches that are followed in the literature of the calculus of variations on time scales: one is concerned with the minimization of delta integrals with a Lagrangian depending on delta derivatives [1, 6, 7, 11, 14]; the other with minimization of nabla integrals with integrands that involve nabla derivatives [2, 4]. Both formulations of the problems of the calculus of variations give results that are similar among them and similar to the classical results of the calculus of variations (see, e.g., [16]) but are obtained independently. The main goal of the present paper is to give a unified treatment to the subject. Motivated by this aim we

propose the problem of the calculus of variations on time scales that involves functionals with delta and nabla derivatives, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{extremize } \mathcal{L}(y) = & \gamma_1 \int_a^b L_\Delta(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)) \Delta t \\ & + \gamma_2 \int_a^b L_\nabla(t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t)) \nabla t \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

subject to the boundary conditions $y(a) = \alpha$ and $y(b) = \beta$, where α and β are given real numbers. In the particular cases when $\gamma_1 = 0$ or $\gamma_2 = 0$ functional (1) reduces to $\mathcal{L}(y) = \gamma_2 \int_a^b L_\nabla(t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t)) \nabla t$ or $\mathcal{L}(y) = \gamma_1 \int_a^b L_\Delta(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)) \Delta t$. More generally than this, we propose to unify delta and nabla calculus by using directional derivatives, namely the derivative $D\bar{f}(t)(u)$ from the right of $\bar{f}$ at t in the direction u , where $\bar{f}$ is the function defined on a real interval and associated with f (which is defined on a time scale), by the formula

$$\bar{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T}, \\ f(s) + \frac{f(\sigma(s)) - f(s)}{\mu(s)}(t - s), & \text{if } t \in (s, \sigma(s)), \end{cases}$$

This work was partially supported by the R&D unit CIDMA, via FCT and the EC fund FEDER/POCI 2010. Girejko was also supported by the post-doc fellowship SFRH/BPD/48439/2008; Malinowska by BUT, via a project of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education “Wsparcie międzynarodowej mobilności naukowców”; Torres by the project UTAustin/MAT/0057/2008.

where $s \in \mathbb{T}$ is right-scattered. With the use of the directional derivative we are able to prove unified Euler-Lagrange equations and to give a unified treatment to the calculus of variations on time scales, obtaining both delta and nabla results as trivial corollaries and extending the calculus of variations to a wider class of functions defined on time scales. The paper is organized as follows. Preliminary definitions and notations are gathered in Section 2. The main results on the unification of problems of calculus of variations are given in Section 3. Finally, Section 4 presents some conclusions and open questions.

2 PRELIMINARIES

In this section we review necessary results from the literature. We assume the reader to be familiar with the basic definitions and facts concerning the delta and nabla differential calculus on time scales. For an introduction to the subject we refer the reader to the books [8, 9, 13].

Throughout the whole paper we assume $\mathbb{T}$ to be a given time scale with $\inf \mathbb{T} := a$, $\sup \mathbb{T} := b$, and $I := [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$ for $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, by I_κ^κ (or $\mathbb{T}_\kappa^\kappa$) we mean $I_\kappa^\kappa := I^\kappa \cap I_\kappa$ (or, respectively, $\mathbb{T}_\kappa^\kappa := \mathbb{T}^\kappa \cap \mathbb{T}_\kappa$), with $I^\kappa = I \setminus (\rho(b), b]$ and $I_\kappa = I \setminus [a, \sigma(a))$. We recall that if y is delta differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}$, then $y^\sigma(t) = y(t) + \mu(t)y^\Delta(t)$; if y is nabla differentiable at t , then $y^\rho(t) = y(t) - \nu(t)y^\nabla(t)$.

If the functions $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are delta and nabla differentiable with continuous derivatives, then the following formulas of integration by parts hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f^\sigma(t)g^\Delta(t)\Delta t &= (fg)(t)|_{t=a}^{t=b} - \int_a^b f^\Delta(t)g(t)\Delta t, \\ \int_a^b f(t)g^\Delta(t)\Delta t &= (fg)(t)|_{t=a}^{t=b} - \int_a^b f^\Delta(t)g^\sigma(t)\Delta t, \\ \int_a^b f^\rho(t)g^\nabla(t)\nabla t &= (fg)(t)|_{t=a}^{t=b} - \int_a^b f^\nabla(t)g(t)\nabla t, \\ \int_a^b f(t)g^\nabla(t)\nabla t &= (fg)(t)|_{t=a}^{t=b} - \int_a^b f^\nabla(t)g^\rho(t)\nabla t. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The following fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations on time scales involving a nabla derivative and a nabla integral has been proved in [15].

Lemma 1. (The nabla Dubois-Reymond lemma [15, Lemma 14]). *Let $f \in C_{ld}(I, \mathbb{R})$. If*

$$\int_a^b f(t)\eta^\nabla(t)\nabla t = 0$$

for all $\eta \in C_{ld}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = \eta(b) = 0$, then $f(t) \equiv c$ for all $t \in I_\kappa$, where c is a constant.

Lemma 2 is the analogous delta version of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. (The delta Dubois-Reymond lemma [7, Lemma 4.1]). *Let $g \in C_{rd}(I, \mathbb{R})$. If*

$$\int_a^b g(t)\eta^\Delta(t)\Delta t = 0$$

for all $\eta \in C_{rd}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(a) = \eta(b) = 0$, then $g(t) \equiv c$ on I^κ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3 gives a relationship between delta and nabla derivatives.

Proposition 3. ([3, Theorems 2.5 and 2.6]). (i) *If $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is delta differentiable on $\mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and f^Δ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}^\kappa$, then f is nabla differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$ and*

$$f^\nabla(t) = (f^\Delta)^\rho(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa. \quad (3)$$

(ii) *If $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nabla differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$ and f^∇ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}_\kappa$, then f is delta differentiable on $\mathbb{T}^\kappa$ and*

$$f^\Delta(t) = (f^\nabla)^\sigma(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa. \quad (4)$$

Proposition 4. ([3, Theorem 2.8]). *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ with $a \leq b$ and let f be a continuous function on $[a, b]$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t)\Delta t &= \int_a^{\rho(b)} f(t)\Delta t + (b - \rho(b))f^\rho(b), \\ \int_a^b f(t)\Delta t &= (\sigma(a) - a)f(a) + \int_{\sigma(a)}^b f(t)\Delta t, \\ \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t &= \int_a^{\rho(b)} f(t)\nabla t + (b - \rho(b))f(b), \\ \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t &= (\sigma(a) - a)f^\sigma(a) + \int_{\sigma(a)}^b f(t)\nabla t. \end{aligned}$$

We end our brief review of the calculus on time scales with a relationship between the delta and nabla integrals.

Proposition 5. ([12, Proposition 7]). *If function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then for all $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ with $a < b$ we have*

$$\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = \int_a^b f^\rho(t)\nabla t, \quad (5)$$

$$\int_a^b f(t)\nabla t = \int_a^b f^\sigma(t)\Delta t. \quad (6)$$

3 MAIN RESULTS

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a given time scale with $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$, $a < b$, and $\mathbb{T} \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset$; $L_\Delta(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $L_\nabla(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ be two given smooth functions from $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The results of this section are trivially generalized for admissible functions $y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ but for simplicity of presentation we restrict ourselves to the scalar case $n = 1$.

3.1 The delta-nabla calculus of variations

We consider the delta-nabla integral functional

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = \gamma_1 \int_a^b L_\Delta(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)) \Delta t + \gamma_2 \int_a^b L_\nabla(t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t)) \nabla t. \quad (7)$$

One of our goals is to find the Euler-Lagrange equation for $\mathcal{L}(y)$ defined by (7). For simplicity of notation we introduce the operators $[y]$ and $\{y\}$ defined by

$$[y](t) = (t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)), \quad \{y\}(t) = (t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t)).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\Delta(y) &= \int_a^b L_\Delta[y](t) \Delta t, \\ \mathcal{L}_\nabla(y) &= \int_a^b L_\nabla\{y\}(t) \nabla t, \\ \mathcal{L}(y) &= \gamma_1 \mathcal{L}_\Delta(y) + \gamma_2 \mathcal{L}_\nabla(y) \\ &= \gamma_1 \int_a^b L_\Delta[y](t) \Delta t + \gamma_2 \int_a^b L_\nabla\{y\}(t) \nabla t. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6. In the particular case $\gamma_1 = 0$ (7) reduces to $\mathcal{L}(y) = \mathcal{L}_\nabla(y)$; when $\gamma_2 = 0$ functional (7) reduces to $\mathcal{L}(y) = \mathcal{L}_\Delta(y)$.

The delta-nabla problem of the calculus of variations on time scales under our consideration consists of extremizing

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = \gamma_1 \int_a^b L_\Delta[y](t) \Delta t + \gamma_2 \int_a^b L_\nabla\{y\}(t) \nabla t \quad (8)$$

in the class of functions $y \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$, where $C_\diamond^1$ denotes the class of functions $y : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with y^Δ continuous on I^κ and y^∇ continuous on I_κ , and satisfying the boundary conditions

$$y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta \quad (9)$$

with α and β given real numbers. A function $y \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is said to be *admissible* provided it satisfies conditions (9).

Definition 7. We say that $\hat{y} \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is a weak local minimizer (respectively weak local maximizer) for problem (8)–(9) if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}(\hat{y}) \leq \mathcal{L}(y)$ (respectively $\mathcal{L}(\hat{y}) \geq \mathcal{L}(y)$) for all $y \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the boundary conditions (9) and $\|y - \hat{y}\|_{1,\infty} < \delta$, where

$$\|y\|_{1,\infty} := \|y^\sigma\|_\infty + \|y^\rho\|_\infty + \|y^\Delta\|_\infty + \|y^\nabla\|_\infty$$

and $\|y\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in I_\kappa} |y(t)|$.

Let $\partial_i L$ denote the standard partial derivative of $L(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to its i th variable, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Theorem 8 gives two different forms for the Euler-Lagrange equation on time scales associated with variational problem (8)–(9).

Theorem 8. (The delta-nabla Euler-Lagrange equations on time scales). If $\hat{y} \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is a weak local extremizer of problem (8)–(9), then $\hat{y}$ satisfies the following delta-nabla integral equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_1 \left(\partial_3 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](\rho(t)) - \int_a^{\rho(t)} \partial_2 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](\tau) \Delta \tau \right) \\ &+ \gamma_2 \left(\partial_3 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(t) - \int_a^t \partial_2 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(\tau) \nabla \tau \right) = \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $t \in I_\kappa$; and

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_1 \left(\partial_3 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](t) - \int_a^t \partial_2 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](\tau) \Delta \tau \right) \\ &+ \gamma_2 \left(\partial_3 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(\sigma(t)) - \int_a^{\sigma(t)} \partial_2 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(\tau) \nabla \tau \right) = \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for all $t \in I^\kappa$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ has a weak local extremum at $\hat{y}$. We consider the value of $\mathcal{L}$ at nearby functions $\hat{y} + \varepsilon \eta$, where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is a small parameter and $\eta \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ with $\eta(a) = \eta(b) = 0$. Thus, function $\phi(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{L}(\hat{y} + \varepsilon \eta)$ has an extremum at $\varepsilon = 0$. Using the first-order necessary optimality condition $\phi'(\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_1 \int_a^b (\partial_2 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](t) \eta^\sigma(t) + \partial_3 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](t) \eta^\Delta(t)) \Delta t \\ &+ \gamma_2 \int_a^b (\partial_2 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(t) \eta^\rho(t) + \partial_3 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(t) \eta^\nabla(t)) \nabla t = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Let

$$A(t) = \int_a^t \partial_2 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](\tau) \Delta \tau, \quad B(t) = \int_a^t \partial_2 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(\tau) \nabla \tau.$$

Then, $A^\Delta(t) = \partial_2 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](t)$, $B^\nabla(t) = \partial_2 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(t)$, and the first and third integration by parts formula in (2) tell us, respectively, that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^b \partial_2 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](t) \eta^\sigma(t) \Delta t \\ &= \int_a^b A^\Delta(t) \eta^\sigma(t) \Delta t \\ &= A(t) \eta(t) \Big|_{t=a}^{t=b} - \int_a^b A(t) \eta^\Delta(t) \Delta t \\ &= - \int_a^b A(t) \eta^\Delta(t) \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \partial_2 L_{\nabla}\{\hat{y}\}(t) \eta^\rho(t) \nabla t \\
&= \int_a^b B^\nabla(t) \eta^\rho(t) \nabla t \\
&= B(t) \eta(t) \Big|_{t=a}^{t=b} - \int_a^b B(t) \eta^\nabla(t) \nabla t \\
&= - \int_a^b B(t) \eta^\nabla(t) \nabla t.
\end{aligned}$$

If we denote $f(t) = \partial_3 L_{\Delta}[\hat{y}](t) - A(t)$ and $g(t) = \partial_3 L_{\nabla}\{\hat{y}\}(t) - B(t)$, then we can write the necessary optimality condition (12) in the form

$$\gamma_1 \int_a^b f(t) \eta^\Delta(t) \Delta t + \gamma_2 \int_a^b g(t) \eta^\nabla(t) \nabla t = 0. \quad (13)$$

We now split the proof in two parts: (i) we prove (10) transforming the delta integral in (13) to a nabla integral by means of (5); (ii) we prove (11) transforming the nabla integral in (13) to a delta integral by means of (6).

(i) By (5) the necessary optimality condition (13) is equivalent to

$$\int_a^b (\gamma_1 f^\rho(t) (\eta^\Delta)^\rho(t) + \gamma_2 g(t) \eta^\nabla(t)) \nabla t = 0$$

and by (3) to

$$\int_a^b (\gamma_1 f^\rho(t) + \gamma_2 g(t)) \eta^\nabla(t) \nabla t = 0. \quad (14)$$

Applying Lemma 1 to (14) we prove (10):

$$\gamma_1 f^\rho(t) + \gamma_2 g(t) = c \quad \forall t \in I_\kappa,$$

where c is a constant.

(ii) By (6) the necessary optimality condition (13) is equivalent to

$$\int_a^b (\gamma_1 f(t) \eta^\Delta(t) + \gamma_2 g^\sigma(t) (\eta^\nabla)^\sigma(t)) \Delta t = 0$$

and by (4) to

$$\int_a^b (\gamma_1 f(t) + \gamma_2 g^\sigma(t)) \eta^\Delta(t) \Delta t = 0. \quad (15)$$

Applying Lemma 2 to (15) we prove (11):

$$\gamma_1 f(t) + \gamma_2 g^\sigma(t) = c \quad \forall t \in I^\kappa,$$

where c is a constant. $\square$

Example 9. Let $\mathbb{T} = \{1, 3, 4\}$, γ_1, γ_2 be arbitrary real numbers, and consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned}
\min \mathcal{L}(y) &= \gamma_1 \int_1^4 t (y^\Delta(t))^2 \Delta t + \gamma_2 \int_1^4 t (y^\nabla(t))^2 \nabla t \\
y(1) &= 0, \quad y(4) = 1.
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since

$$L_{\Delta} = t (y^\Delta)^2, \quad L_{\nabla} = t (y^\nabla)^2,$$

we have

$$\partial_2 L_{\Delta} = 0, \quad \partial_3 L_{\Delta} = 2ty^\Delta, \quad \partial_2 L_{\nabla} = 0, \quad \partial_3 L_{\nabla} = 2ty^\nabla.$$

Using equation (11) of Theorem 8 we get

$$2\gamma_1 ty^\Delta(t) + 2\gamma_2 \sigma(t) y^\nabla(\sigma(t)) = C \quad (17)$$

where $C \in \mathbb{R}$. By (4) we can rewrite equation (17) in the form

$$2\gamma_1 ty^\Delta(t) + 2\gamma_2 \sigma(t) y^\Delta(t) = C. \quad (18)$$

Observe that γ_1, γ_2 cannot vanish simultaneously. Solving equation (18) subject to the boundary conditions $y(1) = 0$ and $y(4) = 1$ we get a candidate for a local minimizer of problem (16):

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t = 1 \\ \frac{6\gamma_1 + 8\gamma_2}{7\gamma_1 + 11\gamma_2} & \text{if } t = 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } t = 4. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Theorem 10. Let $L_{\Delta}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $L_{\nabla}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ be jointly convex (concave) with respect to the second and third argument for any $t \in I$, and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \geq 0$. If $\hat{y} \in C_{\diamond}^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is admissible and satisfies equation (10) (equivalently (11)), then $\hat{y}$ is a global minimizer (maximizer) of problem (8)–(9).

Proof. We shall give the proof for the convex case. In this case we want to show that the difference $\mathcal{L}(y) - \mathcal{L}(\hat{y})$ is greater or equal than zero for any admissible y . Since $L_{\Delta}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $L_{\nabla}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ are jointly convex with respect to the second and third argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(y) - \mathcal{L}(\hat{y}) &= \gamma_1 \int_a^b (L_{\Delta}[y](t) - L_{\Delta}[\hat{y}](t)) \Delta t \\
&\quad + \gamma_2 \int_a^b (L_{\nabla}\{y\}(t) - L_{\nabla}\{\hat{y}\}(t)) \nabla t \\
&\geq \gamma_1 \int_a^b [(y^\sigma(t) - \hat{y}^\sigma(t)) \partial_2 L_{\Delta}[\hat{y}](t) \\
&\quad + (y^\Delta(t) - \hat{y}^\Delta(t)) \partial_3 L_{\Delta}[\hat{y}](t)] \Delta t \\
&\quad + \gamma_2 \int_a^b [(y^\rho(t) - \hat{y}^\rho(t)) \partial_2 L_{\nabla}\{\hat{y}\}(t) \\
&\quad + (y^\nabla(t) - \hat{y}^\nabla(t)) \partial_3 L_{\nabla}\{\hat{y}\}(t)] \nabla t.
\end{aligned}$$

We can now proceed analogously to the proof of Theorem 8.

As result we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}(y) - \mathcal{L}(\hat{y}) \\
& \geq \int_a^b (y^\nabla(t) - \hat{y}^\nabla(t)) \left[\gamma_1 \left(\partial_3 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](\rho(t)) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \int_a^{\rho(t)} \partial_2 L_\Delta[\hat{y}](\tau) \Delta\tau \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \gamma_2 \left(\partial_3 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(t) - \int_a^t \partial_2 L_\nabla\{\hat{y}\}(\tau) \nabla\tau \right) \right] \nabla t \\
& \quad + A(t)(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))|_{t=a}^{t=b} + B(t)(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))|_{t=a}^{t=b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the first term is equal to zero, since $\hat{y}$ is a solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation (10), and the second and third terms are also equal to zero since y is admissible. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}(y) \geq \mathcal{L}(\hat{y})$. $\square$

Example 11. Consider again problem (16) from Example 9 with $\mathbb{T} = \{1, 3, 4\}$. For fixed $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \geq 0$ the assumptions of Theorem 10 are fulfilled and we conclude that (19) is indeed the minimizer of (16).

3.2 Calculus of Variations and Directional Derivatives

Let $\square$ denote Δ or ∇ , and ξ denote σ or ρ . The proofs of Theorems 8 and 10 can be technically adapted to deal with the more general variational problem

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_a^b L_i(t, y^\xi(t), y^\square(t)) \square t$$

or, even more general, to a functional given by the composition of m integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(y) = H & \left(\int_a^b L_1(t, y^\xi(t), y^\square(t)) \square t, \right. \\
& \left. \dots, \int_a^b L_m(t, y^\xi(t), y^\square(t)) \square t \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $H : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We discuss here how to give a precise unified treatment to each one of the terms

$$\int_a^b L_i(t, y^\xi(t), y^\square(t)) \square t, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

For that we make use of directional derivatives. We begin by gathering some basic definitions and notations. Firstly, we recall the following general definition.

Definition 12. Let X be any nonempty subset of $\mathbb{R}$. By the epigraph of $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, denoted by $\text{Epi}(f)$, we mean the following set: $\text{Epi}(f) := \{(t, \lambda) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : f(t) \leq \lambda\}$.

If $X = \mathbb{T}$ is a time scale, then we can rewrite the same definition of epigraph and introduce the following extension of

the epigraph of a function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By $G(f)$ we denote the following set:

$$\begin{aligned}
G(f) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{T}} & \left\{ \alpha(t, y) + \beta(\sigma(t), z) : \right. \\
& \left. y \geq f(t), z \geq f^\sigma(t), \alpha + \beta = 1, \alpha, \beta \geq 0 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $X = I$. Using the formulation of $G(f)$ we can assign to $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a new function $\bar{f} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the condition

$$\text{Epi}(\bar{f}) = G(f). \quad (20)$$

Let us notice that for $f, g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ the following holds: $a\bar{f} + b\bar{g} = \overline{af + bg}$.

Remark 13. Function $\bar{f}$ defined by formula (20) can be presented in the following way (see, e.g., [10]):

$$\bar{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T}, \\ f(s) + \frac{f(\sigma(s)) - f(s)}{\mu(s)}(t - s), & \text{if } t \in (s, \sigma(s)), \end{cases}$$

when $s \in \mathbb{T}$ is right-scattered; or

$$\bar{f}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T}, \\ f(s) + \frac{f(s) - f(\rho(s))}{\nu(s)}(t - s), & \text{if } t \in (\rho(s), s), \end{cases}$$

when $s \in \mathbb{T}$ is left-scattered.

Proposition 14 ([17]). Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- a) The set $G(f)$ is convex;
- b) $\bar{f}$ is convex in $[a, b]$;
- c) f is convex in X .

Definition 15. Let $[a, b]$ be a real interval and let $\bar{f} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by formula (20). We say that the function defined by

$$D\bar{f}(t)(u) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\bar{f}(t + hu) - \bar{f}(t)}{h} \quad (21)$$

is the derivative from the right of $\bar{f}$ at t in the direction u if the limit of the right-hand side of (21) exists. If $D\bar{f}(t)(u)$ exists for all directions u , we say that $\bar{f}$ is differentiable from the right at t .

Let us recall the following useful relations between delta and nabla derivatives of f at point t (if they exist) and the derivative from the right of the corresponding function $\bar{f}$.

Proposition 16 ([17]). Let $t \in \mathbb{T}_\kappa^\kappa$ and $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$.

(a) If $f^\Delta(t)$ exists, then

$$D\bar{f}(t)(u) = u f^\Delta(t) \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.$$

b) If $f^\nabla(t)$ exists, then

$$D\bar{f}(t)(u) = u f^\nabla(t) \quad \text{for } u \leq 0.$$

Remark 17. When we fix $u = 1$ ($u = -1$) then immediately from Proposition 16 one gets

1. $D\bar{f}(t)(1) = f^\Delta(t) = \bar{f}'_+(t)$,
2. $D\bar{f}(t)(-1) = -f^\nabla(t) = -\bar{f}'_-(t)$,

where $\bar{f}'_+(t)$ and $\bar{f}'_-(t)$ denote left and right hand side derivatives of $\bar{f}$ in the classical sense.

We introduce the following notations and definitions.

Definition 18. Let $u \in \mathbb{R}$ be any real number. Then,

$$d_u t := \begin{cases} u\Delta t, & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ u\nabla t, & \text{if } u \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$y \circ \xi_u := \begin{cases} u(y \circ \sigma), & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ u(y \circ \rho), & \text{if } u \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 19. With the notation of Definition 18 we have

$$\int_a^b \bar{f}(t) d_u t := \begin{cases} u \int_a^b f(t) \Delta t, & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ u \int_a^b f(t) \nabla t, & \text{if } u \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{f}$ is defined by formula (20).

Let us consider the following problem. Given $u \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, find y that is a solution to

$$\min \mathcal{L}(y) = \int_a^b L(t, (y \circ \xi_u)(t), D\bar{y}(t)(u)) d_u t, \quad (22)$$

$$y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta,$$

in the class of functions $y \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$.

Remark 20. We are excluding the case $u = 0$ for which problem (22) is trivial (for $u = 0$ there is nothing to minimize).

Remark 21. Proposition 16 implies the following: if y is Δ -differentiable, then for $u = 1$ (22) is just a problem of the calculus of variations with Δ derivative (see [6, 7]), while if f is ∇ -differentiable, then for $u = -1$ (22) reduces to a problem of the calculus of variations with ∇ derivative (see [2, 15]).

Definition 22. We say that $\hat{y} \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is a weak local minimizer (respectively weak local maximizer) for problem (22) if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{y}) \leq \mathcal{L}(y) \quad (\text{respectively } \mathcal{L}(\hat{y}) \geq \mathcal{L}(y))$$

for all $y \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\|y - \hat{y}\|_{1,\infty} < \delta$.

Theorem 23. (The directional Euler-Lagrange equation on time scales). If $y \in C_\diamond^1(I, \mathbb{R})$ is a weak local minimizer to problem (22), then y satisfies the following equation:

$$D(\partial_3 L(t, (y \circ \xi_u)(t), D\bar{y}(t)(u)))(u) \\ = u \cdot \partial_2 L(t, (y \circ \xi_u)(t), D\bar{y}(t)(u)), \quad \forall t \in I_{\kappa^2}^{\kappa^2}, \quad (23)$$

where $\bar{y}$ is defined by formula (20).

Proof. We consider two cases: $u > 0$ and $u < 0$. For $u > 0$ problem (22) reduces to

$$\min \int_a^b u L(t, u(y \circ \sigma)(t), u y^\Delta(t)) \Delta t, \quad (24)$$

$$y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta.$$

If we set $f(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)) := u L(t, u(y \circ \sigma)(t), u y^\Delta(t))$ then problem (24) is equivalent to

$$\min \int_a^b f(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)) \Delta t, \quad y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta. \quad (25)$$

For problem (25) the Euler-Lagrange equation (11) with $\gamma_1 = 1$ and $\gamma_2 = 0$ gives the delta equation

$$[\partial_3 f(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t))]^\Delta = \partial_2 f(t, y^\sigma(t), y^\Delta(t)),$$

which is equivalent to

$$[\partial_3 L(t, u(y \circ \sigma)(t), u y^\Delta(t))]^\Delta = \partial_2 L(t, u(y \circ \sigma)(t), u y^\Delta(t))$$

for every $t \in I_{\kappa^2}$, i.e., we obtain (23) for $u > 0$.

Similarly, let us take $u < 0$. Then problem (22) reduces to the following nabla problem of the calculus of variations:

$$\min \int_a^b u L(t, u(y \circ \rho)(t), u y^\nabla(t)) \nabla t, \quad y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta. \quad (26)$$

If we set $g(t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t)) := u L(t, u(y \circ \rho)(t), u y^\nabla(t))$ then problem (26) is equivalent to

$$\min \int_a^b g(t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t)) \nabla t, \quad y(a) = \alpha, \quad y(b) = \beta.$$

From the Euler-Lagrange equation (10) with $\gamma_1 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 = 1$ we get the nabla differential equation

$$[\partial_3 g(t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t))]^\nabla = \partial_2 g(t, y^\rho(t), y^\nabla(t))$$

that one can write equivalently as

$$[\partial_3 L(t, u(y \circ \rho)(t), u y^\nabla(t))]^\nabla = \partial_2 L(t, u(y \circ \rho)(t), u y^\nabla(t))$$

for every $t \in I_{\kappa^2}$, i.e., we obtain (23) for $u < 0$. $\square$

4 CONCLUSION

We introduce general problems of the calculus of variations on time scales that unify the delta and the nabla problems previously studied in the literature. The proposed calculus of variations extends the problems with delta derivatives considered in [7] and analogous nabla problems [2] to more general cases described by the composition of delta and/or nabla integrals or, even more generally, to the composition of variational integrals with directional derivatives:

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = H \left(\int_a^b L_1(t, (y \circ \xi_{u_1})(t), D\bar{y}(t)(u_1)) d_{u_1} t, \right. \\ \left. \dots, \int_a^b L_m(t, (y \circ \xi_{u_m})(t), D\bar{y}(t)(u_m)) d_{u_m} t \right),$$

where $H : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We prove Euler-Lagrange type conditions for the generalized calculus of variations as well as sufficient conditions under proper convexity assumptions. We claim that the notion of directional derivative plays an important role in the calculus of variations on time scales. More than that, we hope the notion of directional derivative will become a standard tool in the theory of time scales. It would be interesting to generalize our results to variational problems involving higher-order directional derivatives, unifying and extending the higher-order results on time scales of [11] and [15]. This is a question needing further developments.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. D. Ahlbrandt and C. Morian, Partial differential equations on time scales, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **141** (2002), no. 1-2, 35–55.
- [2] F. M. Atici, D. C. Biles and A. Lebedinsky, An application of time scales to economics, *Math. Comput. Modelling* **43** (2006), no. 7-8, 718–726.
- [3] F. M. Atici and G. Sh. Guseinov, On Green’s functions and positive solutions for boundary value problems on time scales, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **141** (2002), no. 1-2, 75–99.
- [4] F. M. Atici and F. Uysal, A production-inventory model of HMMS on time scales, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **21** (2008), no. 3, 236–243.
- [5] B. Aulbach and S. Hilger, A unified approach to continuous and discrete dynamics, in *Qualitative theory of differential equations (Szeged, 1988)*, 37–56, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 53, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
- [6] Z. Bartosiewicz and D. F. M. Torres, Noether’s theorem on time scales, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342** (2008), no. 2, 1220–1226. [arXiv:0709.0400](#)
- [7] M. Bohner, Calculus of variations on time scales, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **13** (2004), no. 3-4, 339–349.
- [8] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2001.
- [9] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.
- [10] C. Dinu, Convex functions on time scales, *Ann. of the Univ. of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser.* **35** (2008), 87–96.
- [11] R. A. C. Ferreira and D. F. M. Torres, Higher-order calculus of variations on time scales, in *Mathematical control theory and finance*, 149–159, Springer, Berlin, 2008. [arXiv:0706.3141](#)
- [12] M. Gürses, G. Sh. Guseinov and B. Silindir, Integrable equations on time scales, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005), no. 11, 113510, 22 pp.
- [13] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram and B. Kaymakçalan, *Dynamic systems on measure chains*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.
- [14] A. B. Malinowska and D. F. M. Torres, Necessary and sufficient conditions for local Pareto optimality on time scales, *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **161** (2009), no. 6, 803–810. [arXiv:0801.2123](#)
- [15] N. Martins and D. F. M. Torres, Calculus of variations on time scales with nabla derivatives, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), no. 12, e763–e773. [arXiv:0807.2596](#)
- [16] B. van Brunt, *The calculus of variations*, Springer, New York, 2004.
- [17] M. Wyrwas, D. Mozyrska and E. Girejko, Subdifferentials of convex functions on time scales, Workshop in Control, Non-smooth Analysis, and Optimization – celebrating the 60th birthday of Francis Clarke and Richard Vinter, May 4-8, 2009, Porto, Portugal.